

Groover & AssociatesRegistered Patent Attorneys
TEL: 972-980-5840 FAX: 972-980-5841**RECEIVED**
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 09 2007Mailing Address:P.O. Box 802889
Dallas, Texas 75380Physical Address:One Galleria Tower, Suite 1950
13355 Noel Road
Dallas, Texas 75240**FAX COVER SHEET**

DATE: November 9, 2007 Total 5 Pages Including This Cover Sheet
FAX: 571.273.8300
TO: Examiner Yves Dalencourt
RE: Application No.
10/037,688
FROM: Malcolm Pipes Docket No.: TD-198

Certificate of Facsimile

I hereby certify this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to The USPTO via fax number 571.273.8300 on November 9, 2007.

By: *Carol Boultinghouse*

Carol Boultinghouse

****Additional Notes:**

Please see attached re:

Interview Summary and Request for Interview

Thank you!

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via U.S. postal service. When applicable, this satisfies the notice requirement of RULE 21A of TGE T.R.C.P.

☐ ORIGINAL WILL FOLLOW BY MAIL☒ ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 09 2007

Applicant: Osman Kent, et al
Application No.: 10/037,688
Filing Date: 01/04/2002
Examiner: Dalencourt, Yves
Title: Image Display System with Visual Server
Art Unit: 2157
Docket No.: TD-198

INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND
REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A telephone interview was held on November 9, 2007 between Examiner Dalencourt and patent counsel Malcolm Pipes. Mr. Pipes wanted to proceed according to the Interview Request faxed on October 23, 2007, but Examiner Dalencourt was unaware of any such request. On October 23rd, counsel faxed an Interview Request at the Examiner's convenience and a draft Response to Non-Final Office Action mailed August 10, 2007. Instead, Examiner Dalencourt indicated that the draft response had been entered into the docketing system as the formal response. He was unaware an interview request had been made.

The interview did not discuss any substantive issues. Because the draft had been entered into the docketing system, the Examiner indicated that a substantive interview could not occur before late December. Examiner Dalencourt acknowledged that the draft was marked as a draft and identified as a draft in the fax transmission.

Interview Summary – Serial No. 10/037,688

Page 1 of 2

This current status is unacceptable and objected to by counsel. The draft response is a draft and should not be considered. Counsel still desires an interview to discuss the response and allowability, and requests that an interview be scheduled at the earliest time. Further, the draft response should be removed from the official prosecution record.

The Examiner or supervisor is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney or Robert Groover for an interview.

November 9, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Malcolm Pipes, Reg. No. 46,995
Attorney for Applicant

Customer Number 29106
Groover & Holmes
PO Box 802889
Dallas, TX 75380
Tel: 972-980-5840
fax: 972-980-5841

BEST AVAILABLE COPY